the Energy to Lead

High-Efficiency Heating Rooftop Units (RTUs) – The Final Frontier for Condensing Gas Furnaces

Douglas Kosar Senior Institute Engineer Gas Technology Institute

CenterPoint Energy Energy Efficiency and Technology Conference May 21, 2014



Presenter

- >Douglas Kosar Senior Institute Engineer Gas Technology Institute
- >30+ years experience introducing new technologies to buildings
- >Last 5 years working to introduce condensing heating RTUs through
 - product R&D w/manufacturers
 - national account/other end user pilots
 - Consortium for Energy Efficiency (EE)
 & utility EE Program deployments



Source: GTI



Presentation Goals

- >Recognize the diversity in a given commercial building of individual RTU heating runtime/gas use
- >Apply higher efficiency heating RTUs in the most cost effective commercial building applications
- >Review the latest pilot project issues and results
- >Understand handling of RTU combustion condensate, especially in cold climates
- >Recognize other commercial heating product categories with emerging condensing options



Presentation Agenda – 35 minute presentation/10 minute Q&A

Individual RTU heating runtimes on a building

- Initial ventilation markets for condensing heating
 Dedicated Outside Air Systems (DOAS), for example
- >Condensing DOAS pilot in big-box retail stores
 - Net operating energy and cost savings, w/fan penalty
 - Added neutralizer maintenance cost, if code required
 - Condensing heating equipment cost premiums
 - Combustion condensate system installation and costs
 - Combustion condensate management practices

>More condensing heating products in our future

Setting the Stage for Condensing RTUs

- >High efficiency, condensing heating RTUs are entering the commercial marketplace, BUT ...
- >Lack of non-condensing RTU heating load data on which to base savings & paybacks for condensing
 - Conflicting DOE & ASHRAE building modeling results
 - No RTU by RTU datasets for given building types
- >So monitoring undertaken of 107 RTUs/11 buildings
 - Current switch/logger timestamps gas valve runtime, nameplate rating provides gas use
 - 1 small office, 3 restaurants, 3 drug stores, 3 clothing & home goods stores, & 1 "big box" retail store



GTI Baseline Monitoring in the Field of Non-Condensing RTUs

>1 small office

- >3 quick service restaurants
- >3 drug/convenience stores
- >3 clothing/home goods stores
- >1 retail "super" store



Source: GTI

Heating Runtime & Gas Use Diversity

- >Perimeter RTUs w/longer runtimes while core RTUs w/shorter runtimes (in general, excess core RTU capacity increases w/area of building)
- >HI heat sees limited use can benefit from 2 stage fan to reduce fan energy penalty in LO heat



Usage Pattern & Excess Capacity

>Entry, stock, & drive-thru RTUs see high use pattern that is repeated across "identical" stores

>One "identical" store halved its installed heating capacity and had no HI heat use increase





Dedicated Outside Air System (DOAS)

>Condition 100% OA for building ventilation

- >DOAS in retail stores (open 24/7) exhibit highest heating loads & longest runtimes for RTUs
- >Focus of follow-on condensing RTU demo



Key Takeaways So Far

>Very diverse heating runtimes for RTUs on a given building, but patterns emerge

- Perimeter zone RTUs see longer runtimes and interior zone RTUs see shorter runtimes
- RTU layouts in "identical" buildings show consistency in RTU runtime patterns with opportunity for selective higher efficiency heating upgrades for high runtime RTUs

>DOAS (or other high ventilation/make-up air fraction RTUs) exhibit highest heating/gas loads and present the most promising early market entry point for condensing RTUs

Condensing Heating DOAS Pilots in Big Box Retail Stores in IL and MN

>Nicor Gas ETP

- Baseline Winter 2010/2011 tests at IL store led to DOAS focus
- Condensing DOAS tests Winter 2012/2013 with annual savings of ~2400 therms per DOAS

>GTI NA ETP Collaborative

- Condensing DOAS tests began 3/2013 at MN store and continue through Winter 2013/2014
- Projected annual therm savings are similar but smaller due to differences in control schemes



Source: GTI



Pilot Project Installations

- >3 step condensing heating module retrofit process early Oct 2012 (Nicor Gas ETP)/Mar 2013 (NA ETP)
 - 1. Installation of condensate piping with neutralizer
 - 2. Replacement of non-condensing heating modules
 - 3. Completion of data acquisition system





Combustion Condensate System – from DOAS ...

- >Plumbing slope minimum between condensate ports of heating module
- Then vertical drop thru RTU base to conditioned space
- Trap inside the conditioned space before run to sanitary drain



Ensure heating module design avoids standing condensate and maintains water tight gasket connections! To heat tape or not to heat tape ... that is the question!



Combustion Condensate System – ... to Sanitary Drain

- Long runs to code required sanitary drain disposal
- >Acidic condensate neutralization is limited local code issue presently
 - > Well established neutralizers from condensing boilers
 - > Annual/2 year maintenance



50-6"

5016



Take advantage of roof deck plumbing slope for condensate line!

Replenish neutralizer calcium carbonate when outlet pH drops below 5.5!

DOAS Heating Module Retrofit

>Two (2) DOAS with two (2) heating modules each



Condensing Heating Module

- >RTUs fundamentally scale up residential condensing furnace heat exchangers, but
 - RTUs supply fans may run continuously during HVAC operating schedule and incremental pressure drop can create significant year round fan energy penalty
 - Condensate management must consider freezing rooftop environments

secondary (condensing) finned tube heat exchanger

> primary tubular heat exchanger

> > 16

Source: Modine



airflow

MN Store Pilot Issues this Winter

- >Condensate leakage
- >Flue icing buildup
- >Burner flame rollout fault
- Supply fan kWh increase (slipping belt replacement)





Source: GTI

Condensate Leakage

- >Combustion fan gasket leakage detected & DOAS shutdown 12/16-18/13
- >Gasket replaced 1/13/14 and no further leakage has been detected since
- >Even w/OA temperatures below design conditions drain line has not frozen even though no external heat applied to PVC pipes



Source: GTI

Icing at Flues is Typical in Operation

Residential Condensing Furnace Flue in MN



Big Box Retail Store Condensing DOAS Flues in MN





DOAS Operating Cost Savings – MN Store 7/23/13 – 3/31/14

Per **RAW** data, gas savings 1800 therms (11%) with 940 kWh added fan electricity for net energy savings of \$1084 @ \$0.65/therm gas & \$0.08/kWh electricity

Condensing	Heating F	RTU Retro	it of DO	AS (Munt	ers HCU) at Targe	et Store, N	ledina, MN		input cells		update cell range
Cumulative	Usage			Gas		Supply Fan		Gas Usage		Electricity		Net
	Date			Heat		Electricity		Decrease		Increase		Savings
	7/23/2013	through	3/31/2014	Cumulative		Cumulative		Cumulative		Cumulative		Cumulative
			HDD	ft3	therms	kWh		therms	\$	kWh	\$	\$
			7925	1419804	14397	8812		1800	1163.26	940	78.76	1084.50
Daily										Electricity		
Daliy										Increase		
								Gas Usage		@ cfm of		
								Decrease		6000		
								from		fan/motor η		
								Baseline TE		0.6		
			KMIC	Gas	@	Supply Fan	Fan Runtime	80%		@∆P of		
Reading	Reading	Usage	Crystal	Heat	1014	Electricity	Fraction	to Condensing TE	\$0.6464	0.15	\$0.0838	Net
Date	Time	Date	Airport	Daily	Btu/ft^3	Daily	Daily	90%	\$/therm	inch WG	\$/kWh	Savings
MM/DD/YYYY	HH:MM:SS	MM/DD/YYYY	HDD65	ft3	therms	kWh	0.00 to 1.00	therms	\$	kWh	\$	\$
3/25/2014	0:00:00	3/24/2014	43.0	7733	78.41	45.58	1.00	9.80	\$6.34	4.23	\$0.35	\$5.98
3/26/2014	0:00:00	3/25/2014	47.9	8015	81.27	46.28	1.00	10.16	\$6.57	4.23	\$0.35	\$6.21
3/27/2014	0:00:00	3/26/2014	37.9	7334	74.37	45.54	1.00	9.30	\$6.01	4.23	\$0.35	\$5.65
3/28/2014	0:00:00	3/27/2014	28.9	6159	62.45	42.94	1.00	7.81	\$5.05	4.23	\$0.35	\$4.69
3/29/2014	0:00:00	3/28/2014	33.9	7111	72.11	44.82	1.00	9.01	\$5.83	4.23	\$0.35	\$5.47
3/30/2014	0:00:00	3/29/2014	32.7	7129	72.29	44.72	1.00	9.04	\$5.84	4.23	\$0.35	\$5.49
3/31/2014	0:00:00	3/30/2014	17.9	3180	32.25	43.82	1.00	4.03	\$2.61	4.23	\$0.35	\$2.25
4/1/2014	0:00:00	3/31/2014	16.4	3222	32.67	43.47	1.00	4.08	\$2.64	4.23	\$0.35	\$2.29

Source: GTI

DOAS Net Operating Cost Savings – for IL Store Annually

Gas savings 2400 therms (11%) with 1285 kWh of added fan electricity for an annual net energy savings of \$1444 @ \$0.65/therm gas and \$0.09/kWh electricity

High Efficiency DOAS Net Operating Cos	t Savings														
Assumptions		inputs	Net Annua	al Energy (Cost Saving	şs		positive			negative				
					Electricity	/									
Heating Module					(\$/kWh)										
Capacity Btu/hr Out	640.000	187.6 kw			0.05	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.13	0.14	0.15
Base AFUE/TE	80%	1	Gas Cost	0.50	1,135.74	1,122.89	1,110.04	1,097.18	1,084.33	1,071.48	1,058.63	1,045.77	1,032.92	1,020.07	1,007.22
Base Btu/hr In	800,000	234.5 kw	(\$/therm)	0.55	1,255.74	1,242.89	1,230.04	1,217.18	1,204.33	1,191.48	1,178.63	1,165.77	1,152.92	1,140.07	1,127.22
High Efficiency AFUE/TE	90%		Х	0.60	1,375.74	1,362.89	1,350.04	1,337.18	1.324.33	1,311.48	1,298.63	1,285.77	1,272.92	1,260.07	1,247.22
High Efficiency Btu/hr In	711,111	208.4 kw	9.479	0.65	,495.74	1,482.89	1,470.04	1,457.18	1,444.33	1,431.48	1,418.63	1,405.77	1,392.92	1,380.07	1,367.22
Heating Full Load Operating Hours/Yr	2700		(\$/GJ)	0.70	1,615.74	1,602.89	1,590.04	1,577.18	1,564.33	1,551.48	1,538.63	1,525.77	1,512.92	1,500.07	1,487.22
				0.75	1,735.74	1,722.89	1,710.04	1,697.18	1,684.33	1,671.48	1,658.63	1,645.77	1,632.92	1,620.07	1,607.22
Base therms/yr	21,600	2278.8 GJ/yr		0.80	1,855.74	1,842.89	1,830.04	1,817.18	1,804.33	1,791.48	1,778.63	1,765.77	1,752.92	1,740.07	1,727.22
High Efficiency therms/yr	19,200	2026 GJ/yr		0.85	1,975.74	1,962.89	1,950.04	1,937.18	1,924.33	1,911.48	1,898.63	1,885.77	1,872.92	1,860.07	1,847.22
Site Gas Savings therms/yr	2,400	253 GJ/yr		0.90	2,095.74	2,082.89	2,070.04	2,057.18	2,044.33	2,031.48	2,018.63	2,005.77	1,992.92	1,980.07	1,967.22
Source Savings MMBtu/yr	245	258 GJ/yr		0.95	2,215.74	2,202.89	2,190.04	2,177.18	2,164.33	2,151.48	2,138.63	2,125.77	2,112.92	2,100.07	2,087.22
		(x 1.02 site to source))	1.00	2,335.74	2,322.89	2,310.04	2,297.18	2,284.33	2,271.48	2,258.63	2,245.77	2,232.92	2,220.07	2,207.22
Supply Fan				1.05	2,455.74	2,442.89	2,430.04	2,417.18	2,404.33	2,391.48	2,378.63	2,365.77	2,352.92	2,340.07	2,327.22
Fan CFM	5000	2360 L/s		1.10	2,575.74	2,562.89	2,550.04	2,537.18	2,524.33	2,511.48	2,498.63	2,485.77	2,472.92	2,460.07	2,447.22
Added Fan Δ P inch WG	0.15	37.35 Pa		1.15	2,695.74	2,682.89	2,670.04	2,657.18	2,644.33	2,631.48	2,618.63	2,605.77	2,592.92	2,580.07	2,567.22
Fan&Motor Efficiency	0.6			1.20	2,815.74	2,802.89	2,790.04	2,777.18	2,764.33	2,751.48	2,738.63	2,725.77	2,712.92	2,700.07	2,687.22
Added kW	0.15			1.25	2,935.74	2,922.89	2,910.04	2,897.18	2,884.33	2,871.48	2,858.63	2,845.77	2,832.92	2,820.07	2,807.22
Fan Operating Hours/Yr	8760			1.30	3,055.74	3,042.89	3,030.04	3,017.18	3,004.33	2,991.48	2,978.63	2,965.77	2,952.92	2,940.07	2,927.22
				1.35	3,175.74	3,162.89	3,150.04	3,137.18	3,124.33	3,111.48	3,098.63	3,085.77	3,072.92	3,060.07	3,047.22
Site Added Fan kWh/yr	1285			1.40	3,295.74	3,282.89	3,270.04	3,257.18	3,244.33	3,231.48	3,218.63	3,205.77	3,192.92	3,180.07	3,167.22
Source Added MMBtu/yr	14	15 GJ/yr		1.45	3,415.74	3,402.89	3,390.04	3,377.18	3,364.33	3,351.48	3,338.63	3,325.77	3,312.92	3,300.07	3,287.22
		(x 3.16 site to source)		1.50	3,535.74	3,522.89	3,510.04	3,497.18	3,484.33	3,471.48	3,458.63	3,445.77	3,432.92	3,420.07	3,407.22

Source: GTI

DOAS Payback Economics – for IL Store

Based on installed cost premium of \$6085 for condensing DOAS and condensate system, plus a projected annual neutralizer maintenance cost of \$65 for calcium carbonate replenishment, a simple payback of 4.4 years is estimated

High Efficiency Heating Module DOAS Paybacks													
Assumptions	Payback in Yes	ars										-	
Condensing Heating Module		E	Electricity										
and		(\$/kWh)										
Condensate Piping and Nuetralizer			0.05	0.06	0.07	80.0	0.09	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.13	0.14	0.15
	Gas Cost	0.50	5.7	5.7	5.8	5.9	0.0	6.0	6.1	6.2	6.3	6.4	6.4
New Contruction Installed Equipment Cost \$ 6,069	(\$/therm)	0.55	5.1	5.2	5.2	5.3	5.3	5.4	5.4	5.5	5.6	5.6	5.7
		0.60	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.8	18	4.9	4.9	5.0	5.0	5.1	5.1
Annual Neutralizer Maintenance Cost \$ 65		0.65	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.7
		0.70	3.9	3.9	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.3
		0.75	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.9	3.9	3.9
		0.80	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.7
		0.85	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.4	3.4	3.4
		0.90	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.2	3.2
		0.95	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0
		1.00	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8
		1.05	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.7	2.7
		1.10	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5
		1.15	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4
		1.20	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3
		1.25	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2
		1.30	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1
		1.35	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
		1.40	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	2.0
		1.45	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9
		1.50	1.7	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8

More Key Takeaways

>Higher RTU runtimes key to generating high net operating cost savings for high efficiency payback

>DOAS provides best early market payback scenario

- "big box" retail accounts with established DOAS vendors
- high heating degree day (HDD)/heating load locations

>Mainstream condensing RTU transition hinges on

- Progressing incremental pressure drop below 0.15"WG
- Broadening RTU use of staged blowers
- Achieving consensus on building heating loads

Condensing RTU Market Situation

- >Non-major, second tier HVAC companies introducing product lines and provide early market entry point
 - Dedicated outside air systems (DOAS)
 - Applied by some key "big box" retail accounts
- >Major HVAC companies on the sidelines for now, but introducing staged blowers that are improving their general outlook on condensing RTU economics

>GTI baseline/pilot testing supports targeting DOAS ... but other technologies competing for OA roles

- Direct fired vs. indirect fired condensing heating
- Demand control ventilation (DCV)
- Energy recovery ventilation (ERV)

Current Active Manufacturers

Manufacturer	Availability	Heating Module Specifications w/Hot Link (subject to change)
Engineered Air	now	90%TE, 100 – 1,400 MBH input, 15:1 turndown, 1,000 to 44,000 CFM www.engineeredair.com/index.php/our_products/category/indirect-fired#djx-series (DJX Series products)
Modine	now	90%TE, up to 500 MBH input, 7:1 turndown, up to 12,000 CFM <u>www.modinehvac.com/web/Commercial-HVAC/Commercial-Ventilation-1.htm</u> (Atherion products)
Reznor	now	up to 93% TE, up to 600 MBH package (400MBH split),up to 10:1 from 500 to 11,500 cfm package (6000 cfm split). <u>www.rezspec.com/en/me/all-products</u> (YDMA, YDHA, & YDSA products)
Munters	now	90%TE, up to 800 MBH input, 10:1 turndown, up to 16,000 CFM www.munters.us/en/us/ProductsServices/Dehumidification/Energy- Recovery/Packaged-Energy-Recovery1/?Product=87392AFD-C031-4BC7-AED9- 65E508651504 (DryCool product literature does not currently show condensing option)





What the Future Holds

>Condensing heating RTUs

- challenging market development from 100 to 30% OA
 - > with current high equipment cost premiums
 - > and low natural gas prices in US/Canada
- logical market development will see progression in cost effectiveness to lower %OA RTUs over time
- <u><</u> 30% OA will need to be competitive w/low-cost, mass-produced, non-condensing efficiency RTUs

>Other commercial (including multi-family) heating products are also going condensing heating

- even with sustained, lower natural gas prices
- steady increase in product categories <u>>90% AFUE/TE</u>

Expanding Condensing RTU Offerings

- >One manufacturer responding to the lower OA% challenge by providing condensing heating RTU product lines for the full (100 to 0) %OA spectrum
 - YDMA (~100 to 60% nominally)
 - YDHA (~60 to 30% nominally)
 - YDSA (~30 to 0% nominally)



Source: Reznor

Other Condensing Product Offerings

>Duct furnaces

- stand-alone or
- furnace for RTU
- >Unit heaters
 - Manufacturing, warehousing, automotive, retail buildings
- >Wall furnaces/hearths
 - multifamily (rentals), home additions



- multifamily (condos), senior living facilities

Source: Suburban



Source: Heatco







Bibliography

- >Kosar, Douglas, et al. 2014. Field Monitoring of Rooftop Unit (RTU) Heating Runtimes and Gas Usage for Selected Commercial Buildings (NY-14-C084). ASHRAE Papers CD: 2014 ASHRAE Winter Conference. Atlanta: ASHRAE.
- >Kosar, Douglas, et al. 2013. 1001: High Efficiency Heating Rooftop Units (RTUs) Public Project Report. Nicor Gas Emerging Technology Program. <u>www.nicorgasrebates.com/emerging</u>.
- >Look for upcoming ASHRAE Journal article on condensing DOAS field test

Sponsor Acknowledgements

>Utilization Technology Development (UTD)

www.utd-co.org

Nicor Gas Emerging Technology Program

www.nicorgasrebates.com/emerging

>GTI North American Emerging Technology Program Collaborative

http://www.gastechnology.org/Expertise/Pages/ Emerging-Technology-Program.aspx

and CenterPoint Energy, Union Gas, DTE Energy for their support

Contact Information

Douglas Kosar Senior Institute Engineer **Building Energy Efficiency** Gas Technology Institute 1700 S. Mount Prospect Road Des Plaines, IL 60018-1804 Office Phone: 847/768-0725 Office Fax: 847/768-0916 Cell Phone: 847/312-1398 E-mail: douglas.kosar@gastechnology.org Web Site: www.gastechnology.org

